



## **Allocating Local Committee Funding: Members' Allocations**

**Local Committee (Woking)  
1 February 2006**

### **KEY ISSUE:**

For 2005/06 the Local Committee has been allocated a total of £80,500 revenue funding, which equates to £11,500 per member for the Woking area, and a capital grant funding of £35,000. There is £52,127.99 revenue and £700.79 capital available to allocate at this meeting. This includes £2631.99 carry forward from 2004/05 and £3000 refunded from an allocation made in 2004/05 to Woking Community Relations Forum.

### **SUMMARY:**

This report lists and makes recommendations on bids received for Members' Allocations which have been sponsored by at least one Member.

### **OFFICER RECOMMENDATIONS:**

The Committee is asked to:

Agree the recommended allocations with, where appropriate, the recommended conditions.

Agree where any money returned as unspent before the end of the financial year should be allocated to.

## Member allocations 2005/06

1. The Surrey County Council Local Committee (Woking) has £80,500 in 2005/06 to spend on projects which will benefit the people of Woking. This amounts to £11,500 per member of the committee. In addition this year there was £2631.99 carry forward from last year and £3000 refunded from an unspent allocation made to Woking Community Relations Forum during 2004/05.
2. An additional amount of £35,000 capital funding has also been allocated to each Surrey County Council Local Committee.
3. The Local Committee has allocated £34,299.21 capital and £34,004 revenue of this years money. Some of these allocations are conditional; for example, on the recipients raising matched funding from other sources, or on their providing detailed budgets. It is possible or even likely that some of this will be returned for the Committee to allocate to other purposes.
4. This is the final meeting of this financial year so the remainder of the allocation needs to be committed at this meeting. It is recommended that Members agree where they would like any money returned as unspent before the end of the financial year to be allocated to.

## Criteria for allocations

5. The criteria are set out in annex 1.

## Bids received

6. The table below shows all bids received which have been sponsored by a member. They are therefore all eligible for decision by the Local Committee.

Project summary	Capital/ Revenue	Member sponsor	£ requested	£ recommended
<b>Reopening Byfleet Primary School Spinney</b> They would like to clear the overgrown area and provide a shaded area for the pupils and form an environmental area. It will also prevent fly tipping on the site. Need identified by SCC Environmental Services. Total cost of project £5000. £2,500 agreed from PTA.	Revenue	Geoff Marlow	£2,500	£2,500
<b>Rotary Mobility Exchange</b> To provide a focal point for easy access to information and transport facilities for the disabled and infirm. It will provide seating, shelter, disabled toilet, access to community transport and shopmobility and a disabled friendly computer information point. The need has been identified by WBC, SCC and Shopmobility. The total cost is	Revenue	Val Tinney	£3,000	£3,000

£125,000. The Rotary Club of Woking has promised £10,000 towards the project for the computer information element. They would like £3000 of this from the Local Committee.				
<b>Woking YMCA – Ypod – Youth Drop in Centre</b> The Woking Youth Drop in Centre is aiming to open in June 2006. It will provide a safe, non threatening place for the young people of Woking to meet and provide a range of facilities and advice for all young people within the community. Funding has been obtained from a variety of sources. This bid requests funding for the creative arts centre and telephone system. Funding bids for these areas have not been made elsewhere.	Capital/ Revenue	Val Tinney	£16,183.27	£16,183.27
<b>Sheerwater Youth Centre Garden Project</b> To develop a safe fenced garden space behind the centre for the use of those accessing the centre. It will be used for growing fruit and vegetables, building a barbeque, leisure space, graffiti area etc. The need has been identified by the young people who use the centre. The funding will be used to buy the equipment needed to clear and maintain the area.	Revenue	Shamas Tabrez	£4,990	£3,990
<b>Liaise Womens Centre</b> Require funding for publicity and leaflets which set out the current activities running at Liaise translated into several local languages.	Revenue	Shamas Tabrez	£400	£400
<b>Knaphill Lower School – Engaging the whole school community at lunchtimes</b> The school would like to improve the dining experience of the children and focus on social interaction and table manners. The bid is for money to purchase round tables and chairs to enable this. The school would like to engage the community in this and parents/carers/ grandparents and local pensioners would be invited to lunch on a rolling programme. The school has raised £8,000 for staffing, cutlery, glasses and crockery. The £2200 requested is for 14 round tables and 140 chairs.	Revenue	Diana Smith	£2,200	£2,200
<b>St Johns Primary School – conversion of an existing toilet to a disabled toilet</b> The school currently rents out the building to community groups. They would like funding to convert an existing toilet into a disabled toilet. This would allow the school to become fully inclusive. In addition the school has a Governor who is in a wheelchair. The community will also benefit when the school becomes a Childrens Centre and while it is used as a polling station. They would like to apply for total cost of	Revenue	Diana Smith	£7,000	£2,000

conversion, but would be grateful for any contribution.				
<p><b>Woking Youth Arts Centre (WYAC) – Advice and Resource Centre (Knaphill)/Lakers Youth Centre (Goldsworth Park) – Computer Suite</b></p> <p>They would like funding for two new internet enabled computers for Lakers and two for WYAC to provide an appropriate environment for studying and homework as requested by young people. Many young people do not want to stay after school and studying at home may not be conducive due to lack of equipment and resources. In addition to the computers, the youth workers will be on hand to support the young people.</p>	Revenue	Diana Smith	£4,000	£4,000
<p><b>Directory of youth services and support available to young people</b></p> <p>This will contain local information on where young people can access services, resources and seek advice and support. It will also contain information on national support groups and helplines. The idea is that it would be printed with graphics in a mini passport style that would be attractive for young people. The first print run would be for 20,000 as they hope to get them out to all 13-19 year olds in Woking through the Youth Council, schools and youth groups. The need for this has been identified through Woking Youth Strategy Group, young people and Woking Youth Council.</p>	Revenue	Diana Smith	£5,000	£5,000
<p><b>Age Concern Woking – Development of Services</b></p> <p>Age Concern Woking has been formed to set up a befriending and information service. Starter funding has been received from Age Concern England (£10,000) and SCC Local Committee (Woking) (£2000 in July 2005). A further £5000 is requested to ensure continuity of the development of the service whilst alternative funding is raised. £1040 of this would be used for a launch event, promotion and publicity. When the Committee discussed the earlier bid in July 2005 it was agreed that they would like to consider additional funding at a future meeting.</p>	Revenue	Elizabeth Compton	£5,000	£1,040

<b>Pirbright Arch Feasibility Study</b> The allocation would be used to part fund a feasibility study looking at providing pedestrian facilities under the railway bridge (Pirbright Arch) on A324 Dawney Hill. A number of pedestrians walk under the bridge including parents/children on their way to and from school. The total cost is £5,000. £2,500 of this is being provided from the Local Committee (Guildford) Members Allocation.	Revenue	Elizabeth Compton	£2,500	£2,500
<b>Horsell Village Hall Stage Restoration</b> The bid is to equip the hall with new lighting, sound system and curtains. This will enable the hall to be used flexibly for stage, conference and events in the area. The need has been identified through a Woking Borough Council consultation on amenities, current users, local drama groups and local charity organisers. Total cost of project £15,050. They would like about 70% from Local Committee. The remainder will come from Horsell Village Hall.	Revenue	John Doran	Sound: £3640 Lights: £4750 Auditorium: £2000 Total: £10,390	£10,390
<b>TOTAL Capital Revenue</b>				<b>£700.79</b> <b>£52,502.48</b>
<b>Total available to this meeting: Capital Revenue</b>				<b>£700.79</b> <b>£52,127.99</b>
<b>Balance for future use Capital Revenue</b>				<b>£0</b> <b>£-374.49</b>

Report by: Sarah Goodman

LEAD/CONTACT OFFICER: Carolyn Rowe

TELEPHONE NUMBER: 01483 518095

BACKGROUND PAPERS: The listed bids for Members' Allocations

Version No: 2

Date:19.01.06

Initials: SG

No of annexes: 1

## Annex 1 – Criteria for allocation

To be considered, projects must

- a. support the priorities of the Surrey Corporate Plan and Woking Community Strategy.
- b. be possible to implement within the time and budget, and offer value for money.

In choosing what to fund, the Local Committee aims for a portfolio which overall:

1. includes at least one comparatively large project of benefit to Woking;
2. benefits a variety of communities of interest (for example, young people, old people, ethnic minorities, disabled people), and different areas of Woking;
3. includes a mix of large and small projects, but not a lot of very small ones.

A large project that benefits a lot of people will take priority over a project that benefits only a few people.

Within this framework, projects are more likely to be funded if:

1. The funding is needed “one-off”.
2. The project will demonstrate its impact within 12 months.
3. The project will give long-term benefit.
4. The project is preventive in purpose.
5. The project targets more disadvantaged areas or communities.
6. Local Committee funding will unlock much more funding from other sources.
7. If small and local, the project has a significant impact on the quality of life of the people affected.